

**Harris Park Metropolitan District Special Meeting.
July 24, 2023 Agenda
2154 Shelton Drive Bailey, CO 80421 @ 7pm.**

· If audience members engage in video recordings of the meeting, the members must be behind the kitchen counter. If audience members engage in audio recordings of the meeting, the recorder must be placed on the table in front of them, for other audience members to see.

· Personal conflicts and grievances between community members are to be addressed on personal time and not at Harris Park Board meetings. Sarcasm between community members at board meetings will not be tolerated. Board meetings are for community business only.

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, Moment of Silence, and Declaration of Quorum.
- II. Consider Approval with Changes to the July 24, 2023 Agenda.
- III. Stilling Wall Project.
 - A. Closed legal session for legal advice.
 - B. Hire engineer for stilling wall project.
 - C. Appoint a single point of contact for the stilling wall project.
- IV. Public Comment.
- V. Adjournment.

NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD ON 8/12/23 AT 9:00 A.M.

Harris Park Metropolitan District Community Center, 2154 Shelton Drive, Bailey, Colorado 80421

Meeting Minutes.

VI. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, Moment of Silence, and Declaration of Quorum (7:00 pm).

VII. Attending.

A.

Steve M	Monique B
Mercedes S	Beth B

VIII. Consider Approval with Changes to the July 24, 2023 Agenda.

A. Changes.

1. Move legal advice under other items and see if Anita is here.

B. Motion to approve the July 24, 2023 Agenda with changes.

1. MS Motion.
2. BB Seconded.
3. Approved.

IX. Stilling Wall Project.

A. Hire engineer for stilling wall project.

1. Update about what happened on the 29th. Brian emailed the board and informed HP that he had some issues with the way HP is doing the stilling wall project.
 - a) The way the slab will be anchored to the existing wall.
 - b) 5 inch overlay.
 - c) Fiberglass rebar that was substituted for the steel rebar. He has no experience with it. He would need to go through a review process to approve.
 - d) Steve said Gold could not continue the project until we got approval. He recommended hiring an engineer to work with Gold's to ensure the state is aware the project is being properly done.
2. Discussion about Gold's bid and the wording in the contract. Specifically the equal to or better than section referring to the new wall overlay in option 2. Beth is curious if the wall is equal to or better than the existing wall, then we don't need an engineer.
3. MOC is concerned who would be ensuring the product is meeting the equal too or better than requirements. Mercedes is wondering who could ensure the anchoring is properly anchored. That is where the engineer would come in.
4. The main concern for the overlay is the size (5in vs 8in) and Brian does not know what they are doing for the overlay and it might not be done properly. Beth said we can direct Gold's to ensure they do it the correct way. We need to ask them what they are doing for the overlay.
5. If the issue is just the type of rebar, we can switch to steel. We can then double check that they are doing the overlay installation as dictated by Brian. His email says that he does not object to the plan that is in place, but it might not be the best way to do that type of installation.
6. MOC talking about his own personal experience with the rebar and install technique.
7. MOC requests that the MOC that has experience with concrete should be able to attend the executive session to add his input due to his knowledge.
8. Discussion about whether or not an engineer needs to be hired for this project.
 - a) Steve thinks we should hire one to talk with Golds and ensure that it is being done the best way. Beth does not think it is necessary if we adjust Gold's plan to accommodate what Brian said. Mercedes thinks we should hire an engineer because no one on the board is a professional in concrete.
 - b) Draw up plans or have them view what Gold is doing and ensure it is the correct way and sign off on it.
 - c) Beth asked if the plans are stamped by the engineer (yes), so why have another engineer look over work that is approved. Mercedes would not feel comfortable saying yes or no on the specs because it is out of her field. Beth thinks if we follow the engineered plans, then we should be good and not need an engineer.

9. MOC is worried about the pressure the dam would be under and that we need to be careful with the project.

- a) Mercedes thinks we should hire an engineer to review the specs and ensure that they are good and if not they can be updated. It could also ensure that Golds takes the best route.
- b) Golds said they would follow the plans and is responsible for following the plans.
- c) Discussion about what was stated in the specs that Golds stated they would follow and the deviations that happened from the original plan.
- d) Mercedes wants an engineer to ensure we do the project properly, but we might need them to help dispute with Golds if they changed their contract/materials in the contract. We need someone who understands concrete and what Golds is doing.
- e) Motion to hire JDS engineer firm to review and inspect the current still wall project.
 - (1) MS motion.
 - (2) BB seconded.
 - (3) Approved.

B. Appoint a single point of contact for the stilling wall project.

1. Golds and Brian both want one person for communication.
2. Beth thinks that a board member should do it, and nominates Steve M to be that point of contact. She does want all communication to be communicated with the board and the dam committee. Steve agrees that all communication will be communicated asap, but he is worried if a decision needs to be made it is hard to get input from other board members. He thinks the board should still be a part of the decision process.
3. Discussion about Golds closing their site to the public.
4. Mercedes agrees that Steve M should be the main point of contact as well. She does ask that when we hire JDS, that there is a meeting and all dam members and community can attend the meeting.
5. Motion
 - a) BS motion.
 - b) MS seconded.
 - c) Approved.

C. Closed legal session for legal advice (Anita was unable to attend. Closed session did not happen.).

X. Public Comment.

- A. MOC is angry that the site has been closed to the public and Steve M needs to tell Golds that they cannot stop committee members from inspecting the project. Golds had a paper that said Steve E was not allowed on the job site. He said Anita sent the doc. Beth agrees that Golds needs to be held accountable and that it is unacceptable for stopping someone.
- B. Monique thinks some introductions could be made and let them know who is on the committee and should be allowed.
- C. MOCs think that the site should not be closed to dam committee people. They should be allowed to view what is happening with the project.

XI. Adjournment (8:00 pm).

- A. MB motion.
- B. BB seconded.
- C. Approved.

NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD ON 8/12/23 AT 9:00 A.M.

Harris Park Metropolitan District Community Center, 2154 Shelton Drive, Bailey, Colorado 80421

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'H. B. O. N.', is written across the bottom of the page.